

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT			TASK NO.		
TO:			DATE 12 June 1968		
			INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) 9		
			ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 1 July 1968		
NAME OF CONTRACTOR					
Declass Review by NGA.					
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Rear Projection Viewer					
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO			THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.		
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 95% (Phase I)					
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price					
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)					
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)					
INCENTIVES					
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT IF YES, CHECK TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> COST <input type="checkbox"/> AWARD FEE <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORMANCE <input type="checkbox"/> DELIVERY			NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.		
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR					
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE 7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY					
2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE					
3. <input type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD 6. <input type="checkbox"/> MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE					
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED <input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE					
<input type="checkbox"/> CLOSE OUT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)					
IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:					
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE 10 June 1968 WITH CONTRACTOR					
SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR			DIVISION TSSG/DED/R&DBr-I		
			SIGNATURE OF APPROVER		

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2005/05/02 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001900010020-0
NARRATIVE REPORT☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

1. The latest report from the contractor dated 12 April 1968 indicated non-compliance with the technical specifications; however, subsequent verbal discussions indicated that [] was very close -- yet not in complete compliance -- with the specifications. 25

2. In discussions with the West Coast Procurement Office on 4 June 1968, it was learned that they have received a formal notification from the contractor regarding the contractor's intention to terminate the contract because of their lack of ability to completely satisfy the contractual specifications. The Contracting Officer agreed to investigate the options that are open to NPIC in order to continue the development.

3. [] has indicated that they have expended [] in attempting to complete Phase I; however, there has been absolutely no indication that they expect to recover under the terms of the contract and it is assumed that because they have failed to meet the specifications no funds will be required to be paid. 25